

Final version for Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

A SHARP RESULT ON m -COVERS

HAO PAN AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. Let $A = \{a_s + n_s\mathbb{Z}\}_{s=1}^k$ be a finite system of arithmetic sequences which forms an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e., every integer belongs at least to m members of A). In this paper we show the following sharp result: For any positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_k$ and $\theta \in [0, 1)$, if there is $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that the fractional part of $\sum_{s \in I} m_s/n_s$ is θ , then there are at least 2^m such subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. This extends an earlier result of M. Z. Zhang and an extension by Z. W. Sun. Also, we generalize the above result to m -covers of the integral ring of any algebraic number field with a power integral basis.

1. INTRODUCTION

For an integer a and a positive integer n , we simply let $a(n)$ represent the set $a + n\mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv a \pmod{n}\}$. Following Sun [S95, S96] we call a finite system

$$A = \{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^k \quad (1.1)$$

of such sets an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ (where $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) if every integer lies in at least m members of (1.1). We use the term *cover* (or covering system) instead of 1-cover. For problems and results in this area, the reader may consult [G04, pp. 383–390], [PS] and [S05]. P. Erdős [E97] once said: “*Perhaps my favorite problem of all concerns covering systems.*”

Example 1.1. For each integer $m \geq 1$, there is an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ which is not the union of two covers of $\mathbb{Z}$. To wit, we let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be distinct primes with $r \geq 2m - 1$, and set $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$. Clearly $A_* = \{\prod_{s \in I} p_s(N)\}_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}, |I| \geq m}$ does not cover any integer relatively prime to N . Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ be the list of those integers in $\{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ not covered by A_* with each occurring exactly m times. If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is covered by A_* , then $x \in \bigcap_{s \in I} 0(p_s)$ for some $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $|I| \geq m$. Therefore

$$A_0 = \{0(p_1), \dots, 0(p_r), a_1(N), \dots, a_n(N)\}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 11B25; Secondary 11B75, 11D68, 11R04.

The second author is responsible for communications, and supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 10425103) in China.

forms an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{1, \dots, r\}$, $J_1 \cup J_2 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$. For $i = 1, 2$ let A_i be the system consisting of those $0(p_s)$ with $s \in I_i$ and those $a_t(N)$ with $t \in J_i$. We claim that A_1 or A_2 is not a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $|I_1| \leq |I_2|$. Since $2|I_2| \geq |I_1| + |I_2| > 2(m-1)$, we have $|I_2| \geq m$ and hence $\prod_{s \in I_2} p_s$ is covered by A_* . Therefore $\prod_{s \in I_2} p_s \notin \bigcup_{t=1}^n a_t(N)$. Clearly $\prod_{s \in I_2} p_s$ is not covered by $\{0(p_s)\}_{s \in I_1}$ either. Thus A_1 does not form a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$.

By means of the Riemann zeta function, in 1989 M. Z. Zhang [Z89] proved that if (1.1) forms a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ then $\sum_{s \in I} 1/n_s$ is a positive integer for some $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let $m_1, \dots, m_k$ be any positive integers. If (1.1) is a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$, then $\{a_s + (n_s/m_s)\mathbb{Z}\}_{s=1}^k$ is also a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence Theorem 2 of [S95] indicates that for any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ there is an $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $I \neq J$ such that $\{\sum_{s \in I} m_s/n_s\} = \{\sum_{s \in J} m_s/n_s\}$, where $\{\alpha\}$ denotes the fractional part of a real number α . When $J = \emptyset$ and $m_1 = \dots = m_k = 1$, this yields Zhang's result. In 1999 Z. W. Sun [S99] proved further that if (1.1) forms an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ then for any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have

$$\left| \left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} : I \neq J \text{ and } \left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{m_s}{n_s} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{s \in J} \frac{m_s}{n_s} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq m.$$

In this paper we will show the following sharp result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (1.1) be an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $m_1, \dots, m_k$ be any integers. Then for any $0 \leq \theta < 1$ the set*

$$I_A(\theta) = \left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} : \left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{m_s}{n_s} \right\} = \theta \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

has at least 2^m elements if it is nonempty.

Remark 1.1. Clearly m copies of $0(1)$ form an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. This shows that the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 is best possible.

Corollary 1.1. *Let (1.1) be an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $m_1, \dots, m_k$ be any integers. Then $|S(A)| \leq 2^{k-m}$ where*

$$S(A) = \left\{ \left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{m_s}{n_s} \right\} : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. As $|I_A(\theta)| \geq 2^m$ for all $\theta \in S(A)$, we have

$$|S(A)|2^m \leq |\{I : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}\}| = 2^k$$

and hence $|S(A)| \leq 2^{k-m}$. $\square$

Remark 1.2. Sun [S95, S96] showed that if $m_1, \dots, m_k$ are relatively prime to $n_1, \dots, n_k$ respectively then (1.1) forms an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ whenever it covers $|S(A)|$ consecutive integers at least m times.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that (1.1) forms an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ but $\{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^{k-1}$ does not. If the covering function $w_A(x) = |\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \in a_s(n_s)\}|$ is periodic modulo n_k , then for any $r = 0, \dots, n_k - 1$ we have*

$$\left| \left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\} : \left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{1}{n_s} \right\} = \frac{r}{n_k} \right\} \right| \geq 2^{m-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 of Sun [S06],

$$\left| \left\{ \left[\sum_{s \in I} \frac{1}{n_s} \right] : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\} \text{ and } \left\{ \sum_{s \in I} \frac{1}{n_s} \right\} = \frac{r}{n_k} \right\} \right| \geq m.$$

In particular, $\{\sum_{s \in I} 1/n_s\} = r/n_k$ for some $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, and hence (1.4) holds in the case $m = 1$. For $A_k = \{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^{k-1}$, clearly $w_{A_k}(x) \geq m-1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case $m > 1$, we obtain (1.4) by applying Theorem 1.1 to A_k with $m_1 = \dots = m_{k-1} = 1$ and $\theta = r/n_k$. $\square$

Remark 1.3. When n_k is divisible by all the moduli $n_1, \dots, n_k$, Corollary 1.2 was stated by the second author in [S03, Theorem 2.5]. When $w_A(x) = m$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following result stronger than (1.4) was proved in [S97]:

$$\left| \left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\} : \sum_{s \in I} \frac{1}{n_s} = n + \frac{r}{n_k} \right\} \right| \geq \binom{m-1}{n}$$

for every $n = 0, \dots, m-1$.

For an algebraic number field K , let O_K be the ring of algebraic integers in K . For $\alpha, \beta \in O_K$, we set

$$\alpha + \beta O_K = \{\alpha + \beta \omega : \omega \in O_K\}$$

and call it a residue class in O_K . For a finite system

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_s + \beta_s O_K\}_{s=1}^k \quad (1.5)$$

of such residue classes, if $|\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \in \alpha_s + \beta_s O_K\}| \geq m$ for all $x \in O_K$ (where $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$), then we call $\mathcal{A}$ an m -cover of O_K . Covers of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}] = O_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})}$ were investigated by J. H. Jordan [J68].

An algebraic number field K of degree n is said to have a *power integral basis* if there is $\gamma \in O_K$ such that $1, \gamma, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}$ form a basis of O_K over $\mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that all quadratic fields and cyclotomic fields have power integral bases.

Here is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field with a power integral basis. Suppose that (1.5) forms an m -cover of O_K , and let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k \in O_K$. Then, for any $\mu \in K$, the set*

$$\left\{ I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} : \sum_{s \in I} \frac{\omega_s}{\beta_s} \in \mu + O_K \right\}$$

is empty or it has at least 2^m elements.

Remark 1.4. We conjecture that the requirement in Theorem 1.2 that K has a power integral basis can be cancelled.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *Let (1.1) be an m -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define $S(A)$ as in (1.3). Then, for any given $\theta \in S(A)$, there exists $t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that both θ and $\{\theta - m_t/n_t\}$ lie in $S(A_t)$, where $A_t = \{a_s(n_s)\}_{1 \leq s \leq k, s \neq t}$.*

Proof. Choose a maximal $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\{\sum_{s \in J} m_s/n_s\} = \theta$. As (1.1) is a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$, by [S95, Theorem 2] or [S99, Theorem 1(i)] there exists an $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ for which $I \neq J$ and $\{\sum_{s \in I} m_s/n_s\} = \theta$. Note that $J \not\subseteq I$ and hence $t \in J \setminus I$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k$. Clearly $\theta = \{\sum_{s \in I} m_s/n_s\} \in S(A_t)$ and also $\{\theta - m_t/n_t\} = \{\sum_{s \in J \setminus \{t\}} m_s/n_s\} \in S(A_t)$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We use induction on m .

The $m = 1$ case, as mentioned above, has been handled in [S95, S99].

Now let $m > 1$ and assume that Theorem 1.1 holds for smaller positive integers. Let $\theta \in S(A)$. In light of Lemma 2.1, there is a $t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that both θ and $\theta' = \{\theta - m_t/n_t\}$ lie in $S(A_t)$. As A_t forms a $(m-1)$ -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$, by the induction hypothesis we have $|I_{A_t}(\theta)| \geq 2^{m-1}$ and $|I_{A_t}(\theta')| \geq 2^{m-1}$. Observe that

$$I_A(\theta) = I_{A_t}(\theta) \cup \{I \cup \{t\} : I \in I_{A_t}(\theta')\}.$$

Therefore

$$|I_A(\theta)| = |I_{A_t}(\theta)| + |I_{A_t}(\theta')| \geq 2^{m-1} + 2^{m-1} = 2^m.$$

We are done. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

At first we give a lemma on algebraic number fields with power integral bases.

Lemma 3.1. *Let K be an algebraic number field with a power integral basis $1, \gamma, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}$. For any $\mu = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \mu_r \gamma^r \in K$ with $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have*

$$\mu \in O_K \iff \psi(\mu), \psi(\mu\gamma), \dots, \psi(\mu\gamma^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\psi(\mu)$ denotes the last coordinate μ_{n-1} .

Proof. If $\mu \in O_K$, then $\mu, \mu\gamma, \dots, \mu\gamma^{n-1} \in O_K$ and hence $\psi(\mu\gamma^j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $j = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Now assume that $\psi(\mu\gamma^j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. We want to show that $\mu \in O_K$ (i.e., $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$). Clearly $\mu_{n-1} = \psi(\mu\gamma^0) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $0 \leq r < n-1$ and $\mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r &= \psi(\mu_0\gamma^{n-1-r} + \mu_1\gamma^{n-r} + \dots + \mu_r\gamma^{n-1}) \\ &= \psi(\mu\gamma^{n-1-r}) - \psi(\mu_{r+1}\gamma^n + \dots + \mu_{n-1}\gamma^{2n-2-r}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mu_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\mu_{r+1}\gamma^n + \dots + \mu_{n-1}\gamma^{2n-2-r} \in O_K$. So, by induction, $\mu_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $r = 0, \dots, n-1$. We are done. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the spirit of the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to handle the case $m = 1$. That is, we should prove that for any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ there is $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $I \neq J$ such that $\sum_{s \in I} \omega_s / \beta_s - \sum_{s \in J} \omega_s / \beta_s \in O_K$.

Let $\{1, \gamma, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}\}$ be a power integral basis of K , and define ψ as in Lemma 3.1.

Let $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} x_r \gamma^r$. Since $-x \in O_K$ is covered by $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_s + \beta_s O_K\}_{s=1}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \prod_{s=1}^k \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \psi(\omega_s(x + \alpha_s) / \beta_s)}\right) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{s \in I} e^{2\pi i \psi(\omega_s(x + \alpha_s) / \beta_s)} \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{s \in I} e^{2\pi i (\psi(\omega_s \alpha_s / \beta_s) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} x_r \psi(\omega_s \gamma^r / \beta_s))} \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{|I|} e^{2\pi i \psi(\sum_{s \in I} \omega_s \alpha_s / \beta_s)} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i x_r \psi(\sum_{s \in I} \omega_s \gamma^r / \beta_s)} \\ &= \sum_{\theta_0 \in S_0} e^{2\pi i x_0 \theta_0} \sum_{\theta_1 \in S_1} e^{2\pi i x_1 \theta_1} \dots \sum_{\theta_{n-1} \in S_{n-1}} e^{2\pi i x_{n-1} \theta_{n-1}} f(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_r = \left\{ \left\{ \psi \left(\sum_{s \in I} \frac{\omega_s \gamma^r}{\beta_s} \right) \right\} : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}$$

and

$$f(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \\ \{\psi(\sum_{s \in I} \omega_s \gamma^r / \beta_s)\} = \theta_r \\ \text{for all } r=0, \dots, n-1}} (-1)^{|I|} e^{2\pi i \psi(\sum_{s \in I} \omega_s \alpha_s / \beta_s)}.$$

For each $r = 0, \dots, n-1$, if $\sum_{\theta_r \in S_r} e^{2\pi i x_r \theta_r} F(\theta_r) = 0$ for all $x_r = 0, \dots, |S_r| - 1$, then $F(\theta_r) = 0$ for every $\theta_r \in S_r$, because the Vandermonde determinant $\det(e^{2\pi i x_r \theta_r})_{0 \leq x_r < |S_r|, \theta_r \in S_r}$ does not vanish. So, by the above, we have $f(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = 0$ for all $\theta_0 \in S_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1} \in S_{n-1}$.

Now suppose that $\mu \in K$ and $\sum_{s \in J} \omega_s / \beta_s \in \mu + O_K$ for a unique subset J of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. We want to deduce a contradiction.

Set $\theta_r = \{\psi(\mu \gamma^r)\}$ for $r = 0, \dots, n-1$. For any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \psi \left(\sum_{s \in I} \frac{\omega_s \gamma^r}{\beta_s} \right) \right\} = \theta_r \quad \text{for all } r = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ \iff & \psi \left(\left(\sum_{s \in I} \frac{\omega_s}{\beta_s} - \mu \right) \gamma^r \right) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } r = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ \iff & \sum_{s \in I} \frac{\omega_s}{\beta_s} \in \mu + O_K \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\ \iff & I = J. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the expression of $f(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ only contains one summand, and therefore

$$0 = f(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = (-1)^{|J|} e^{2\pi i \psi(\sum_{s \in J} \omega_s \alpha_s / \beta_s)} \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete. $\square$

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [E97] P. Erdős, *Some of my favorite problems and results*, in: The mathematics of Paul Erdős, I, 47–67, Algorithms Combin., 13, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [G04] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, 3rd edition, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [J68] J. H. Jordan, *A covering class of residues with odd moduli*, Acta Arith. **13** (1968), 335–338.
- [PS] Š. Porubský and J. Schönheim, *Covering systems of Paul Erdős: past, present and future*, in: Paul Erdős and his Mathematics. I (edited by G. Halász, L. Lovász, M. Simonvits, V. T. Sós), Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies 11, Budapest, 2002, pp. 581–627.

- [S95] Z. W. Sun, *Covering the integers by arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arith. **72** (1995), 109–129.
- [S96] Z. W. Sun, *Covering the integers by arithmetic sequences. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 4279–4320.
- [S97] Z. W. Sun, *Exact m -covers and the linear form $\sum_{s=1}^k x_s/n_s$* , Acta Arith. **81** (1997), 175–198.
- [S99] Z. W. Sun, *On covering multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 1293–1300.
- [S03] Z. W. Sun, *Unification of zero-sum problems, subset sums and covers of $\mathbb{Z}$* , Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 51–60.
- [S05] Z. W. Sun, *On the range of a covering function*, J. Number Theory **111** (2005), 190–196.
- [S06] Z. W. Sun, *A connection between covers of the integers and unit fractions*, Adv. in Appl. Math., in press.
- [Z89] M. Z. Zhang, *A note on covering systems of residue classes*, Sichuan Daxue Xuebao (Nat. Sci. Ed.) **26** (1989), Special Issue, 185–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail addresses: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn, zwsun@nju.edu.cn